Diagnostic Reference Level in Lumbar Radiography in Abidjan, Côte d’îvoire
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Abstract

This study aims to determine the diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) for posterior-anterior lumbar and profile lumbar examinations in order to optimize the entrance dose (De) and the dose area product (DAP) of patient in Abidjan. A total of 240 patients undergoing conventional radiology in four hospitals of the city were considered. The device used to measure De and DAP values is a DAP-meter, model Diamentor M4 KDK and of type 11017. The DRLs in terms of De and DAP values were determined by applying the 75th percentile method. These values were compared to DRLs values obtained in other countries and to those recommended by international institutions. The values of De measured are encouraging, however for the DAP; many efforts are needed to be made to reduce the DRLs values.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The establishment of diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) has recently become one of the essential questions in the management of patient dose received in radiodiagnostic and conventional radiology. Therefore, there is an increasing need of harmonization of practices and doses including a normalization of procedures and an optimization of parameters influencing the dose delivered to patients. Many scientific meetings have shown a great interest concerning the diagnostic reference levels such as workshops in Italia in 1993 [1], Luxembourg in 1997 [2], the IAEA conference in Malaga in 2001 [3]. Some decisions were also taken by states like those of European community through the Directive 97/43 [4] which is a part of the International Commission of Radiological Protection publication No 70 to establish the DRLs. In Côte d’Ivoire also, a preliminary study was carried out by G. A. Monnehan et al [5]. This study provided DRLs values in terms of De in three radiological centres for thoracic and ASP examinations.

This study aims to deepen the work already realized by determining the DRLs in terms of De and DAP in four hospitals in Abidjan, for lumbar examination of 240 patients in conventional radiology. The obtained values were analysed and discussed by comparing themselves. These values were then compared to those obtained in other countries and international institutions. The final objective is to provide to conventional radiology practitioners some reference dose values in order to ensure the management of the doses delivered and the efficient control of the exposure of patients in Côte d’Ivoire.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Working method

We selected four (4) conventional radiological rooms corresponding to the four (4) public hospitals which are: Cocody Teaching Hospital Centre (H1), Yopougon Teaching Hospital Centre (H2), Military Hospital of Abidjan (H3) and Cardiology Institute of Abidjan (H4) These centres respect the Ivorian norms such as a surface of at least 25 m², a height under roof of at least 3.50 m [6] and having been inspected and controlled for quality control purpose. In each radiological room, we interested on the radiology of facial and profile lumbar which are the most undergone examinations after the thoracic one. For each examination, thirty (30) patients were taken based on the Radiation Protection Institute and Nuclear Safety (IRSN) recommendations [7], all of more than 18 years old. Only were considered the patients who were able stand up. Patients who were lied down on bed or sat were excluded.
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2.2. Data collection

Some mails were sent to the Directors of the four diagnostic facilities and our study started after their agreements.

The data were collected during three months, June, July, and August 2015 in the four radiological rooms selected according the above criteria. The data collection consisted on recording the name of the facility, the type of examination, the last date of inspection and quality control. Also, for each device we recorded the date of installation, the mark, the model and the additional filtering. For each of the 30 patients, we recorded the age, the size, the masse, and the thickness of the thoracic. The distance source-patient and the radiological parameters (voltage in kV and power in mAs) were also recorded.

The patient’s DAP and the dose in air (Dair) were measured with a DAP-meter. These values were recorded for each diagnostic room.

2.3. Materials

In each conventional radiologic room the materials found are high voltage generator, X-ray machine, a desk to fix the kV and mAs, an examination table, detectors and shielding equipment to protect the technicians. For this study, we used a DAP-meter (Diamentor M4-KDK and of type 11017 made by the German enterprise PTW). This device was offered by IAEA to Côte d’Ivoire and previously calibrated at PTW-Freiburg with mGy and Gy.cm² values. It’s composed of an ionizing chamber of mark Diamentor and an electrometer (DAP-meter reader).

The ionizing chamber is placed at the output of the X-ray tube at the collimator side. The ionizing chamber is the main tool used to measure the patient dose. It’s a plastic enceinte containing a gas (air) and two electrodes between which is established a potential difference. When the X-rays traverse the enceinte, they ionize the gas and a power which the intensity is proportional to the X-ray flow, is established in the ionizing chamber. This power is conducted to the electrometer which converts it both into Dair and DAP [8].

Then with the 75th percentile statistical method [9] proposed by the European Community, the diagnostic reference levels in terms of De and DAP were determined. The De was calculated by the following equation:

\[ \text{De} = \text{Dair} \times \text{BSF} \]

Where: Dair is the dose in air,

BSF is the backscatter factor equal to 1.35 for a voltage varying from 60 to 80 kV or 1.5 if the voltage is above 80 kV [10].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This part presents the results of our work and the appropriate discussion.

3.1. Facial lumbar spine examination

3.1.1. Radiological and morphological parameters

Some radiological and morphological parameters such as kV, mAs, distance focus-film (DFF), mass, size, thickness of the patient can influence the dose delivered to patient during the diagnostic examination. Therefore, it’s necessary to measure these parameters in each radiological room. The radiological and morphological parameters measured in this work during the lumbar spine of face examination are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic centre</th>
<th>kV</th>
<th>mAs</th>
<th>Av. Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Av. Size (cm)</th>
<th>Av. Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>DFF (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>50 - 95</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td>32 - 87</td>
<td>74.15</td>
<td>165.86</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>60 - 90</td>
<td>70.56</td>
<td>56 - 90</td>
<td>74.77</td>
<td>160.26</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>70 - 95</td>
<td>80.16</td>
<td>50 - 100</td>
<td>66.93</td>
<td>170.70</td>
<td>76.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>80 - 96</td>
<td>87.90</td>
<td>27 - 40</td>
<td>75.86</td>
<td>160.30</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Av: average value.

According to Table 1, for the facial lumbar spine examination, the highest average value of kV of 87.90 kV is measured in H4 and the lowest average kV of 70.56 kV is found in H2.

The highest average value of mAs of 74.46 mAs is recorded in H3 whereas the lowest average of 31.42 mAs is recorded in H4.

Table 1 shows that the highest values of patient mass, size, and thickness are recorded respectively in H4, H3, and H2. It shows also that in every diagnostic room, the distance focus-film (DFF) used is 100 cm except for the H3 where the DFF is 76.40 cm.

After recording these radiological and morphological parameters, the diagnostic reference levels were determined for each centre.
3.1.2. Diagnostic reference level for facial lumbar spine examination of the centres

The DRLs for the lumbar spine of face examination in terms of entrance dose (De) and dose area product (DAP) were calculated for every diagnostic centre using the above equation (1). The results of this calculation are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: DRL values for lumbar spine of face examination of the centres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic centre</th>
<th>Diagnostic reference level</th>
<th>De (mGy)</th>
<th>DAP (Gy.cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table presents the lowest values of De and DAP respectively of 3.25 mGy and 1.54 Gy.cm² measured in H4. Whereas the highest values of De and DAP respectively of 11.70 mGy and 13.71 Gy.cm² were measured in H3 centre.

The lowest value of DRLs in H4 centre could be justified by the lowest value of mAs recorded in this centre. The value of kV also recorded is suitable according to French Society of Radiology recommendation. In fact according to French Society of Radiology the recommended values of kV and mAs necessary to optimize patient entrance dose in facial lumbar spine examination should range respectively from 65 to 80 kV and from 30 to 70 mAs with a tendency to further reduce the mAs and increase the kV [4]. Otherwise, the highest dose observed in H3 centre could be explained by the recorded value of mAs of 76.46 mAs higher than the recommended value and also by the short distance between the focus and the film. The recommended DFF value ranges from 100 cm to 120 cm. The difference between the De values measured in the different centres could be justified by many factors such as difference in the radiological and morphological parameters but in the quality of the devices used.

For the DAP values measured in the centres, the lowest value of 1.54 Gy cm² was determined in H4 while the highest value was found in H3 centre. The difference between the DAP values in the centres might be due to a failure in the X-ray beam adjustment.

3.2. Profile lumbar spine examination

3.2.1. Radiological and morphological parameters

The radiological and morphological parameters recorded in each diagnostic centre for this examination are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Radiological and patient morphological parameters for profile lumbar spine examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic centre</th>
<th>kV Range</th>
<th>mAs Range</th>
<th>Mass (kg) Av.</th>
<th>Size (cm) Av.</th>
<th>Thickness (cm) Av.</th>
<th>DFF (cm) Av.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>85 - 118</td>
<td>100.23 - 100.36</td>
<td>77.37</td>
<td>165.90</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>70 - 76</td>
<td>72.06 - 139.66</td>
<td>77.21</td>
<td>160.43</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>70 – 98</td>
<td>85.36 - 145.66</td>
<td>67.06</td>
<td>169.96</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>86 - 106</td>
<td>96.83 - 36.28</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>165.13</td>
<td>29.68</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Av: Average value.

For the profile lumbar spine examination, the highest average value of kV of 100.23 kV is recorded in H1 and the lowest average of 72.06 kV is in H2. The highest average value of mAs of 145.66 mAs is recorded in H3 whereas the lowest average of mAs of 36.28 mAs is recorded in H4.

The lowest values of mass, size, and thickness are respectively measured in H3, H4, and H3. Whereas the highest values are recorded respectively in H1 and H2, H3, and H3 centres. The distance focus-film used for the examination is 100 cm except for H3 where it is 77 cm. The De and DAP values also were calculated for every centre.

3.2.2. Diagnostic reference level for profile lumbar spine examination of the centres

The DRLs in terms of entrance dose (De) and dose area product (DAP) calculated are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: DRL values for profile lumbar spine examination of the centres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic centre</th>
<th>Diagnostic reference level</th>
<th>De (mGy)</th>
<th>DAP (Gy.cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>28.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to Table 4, the lowest value of De for profile lumbar spine examination is measured in H4 centre. The highest value of De in H3 may be explained by the high average mAs value recorded in the centre during the examination (See Table 3). However, the DRL in terms of De of 15.83 mGy measured in H3 centre is lower than 25 mGy, the reference value of De established by IRSN [7].

For the DAP, the lowest value is measured in H4 and the highest value is found in H3 centre. This highest value of DAP in H3 is three time higher than the IRSN reference value of 8 Gy.cm² [7]. This difference might be due to a failure in the X-ray beam adjustment in H3 centre.

3.3. Diagnostic reference levels in Abidjan

The DRLs of the public diagnostic centres in Abidjan is defined as the overall average of kV, mAs, De, and DAP values of the four public centres studied. These values are the arithmetical averages of the above mentioned quantities [11] and the DRLs in terms of De and DAP were determined by 75th percentile method.

The DRL values for the lumbar spine examination in Abidjan corresponding to the average values of kV and mAs are presented in Table 5.

### Table 5: Diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) for lumbar spine examinations in Abidjan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination</th>
<th>DRLs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kV (Average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial lumbar</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile lumbar</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The DRL values obtained in Abidjan were compared to some DRLs values measured in other countries over the world and the comparison is presented in Table 6 below.

### Table 6: Comparison of the DRL values obtained in the present work with other works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lumbar Examination</th>
<th>Diagnostic reference levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facial PDS (Gy.cm²)</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial De (mGy)</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile PDS (Gy.cm²)</td>
<td>11.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile De (mGy)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 6, the De value of 5.56 mGy obtained for the facial lumbar spine examination in Abidjan is lower than the values obtained in other countries such as France, Switzerland, Serbia, UK, and Ghana. This could be due to the use of average value of kV of 80.6 kV and mAs value of 53.28 mAs ranging in the SFR recommended values of kV and mAs respectively of (65 - 80 kV) and (30 - 70 mAs). However this value is higher than those obtained in other countries such as Iran, Tanzania, Soudan, etc. Therefore, we could further reduce the De in our diagnostic centres by reducing the mAs and keeping the value in SFR recommended range.

The DRL value in term of DAP in Abidjan for the facial lumbar examination is higher than the obtained values in France, UK, and Switzerland whereas the De value in Abidjan was lower. Therefore, many efforts might be made by practitioners to reduce the DAP value by using the diaphragm to reduce the X-ray beam.

Considering the profile lumbar examination, the De value of 11.54 mGy obtained in Abidjan is lower than the values obtained in France, Serbia, Ghana, etc. This result could be justified by the average values of kV (88.6 kV) and mAs (105.5 mAs) ranging in the recommended values established by French society of radiology (SFR) respectively of (80 -100 kV) and (70 – 150 mAs). However our measured De value is higher than the De values obtained in UK, Switzerland, Soudan, Iran, etc. The DRLs in De can be reduced by using the maximum values of the recommended kV and mAs.

For this profile lumbar examination, the DAP value is higher than the DAP values measured in many countries such as France. Form Table 6, we notice a low value of De and a high value of DAP in Abidjan. Therefore, this high value of DAP obtained could be justified by the failure in X-ray beam focusing. Therefore, it’s important for medical diagnostic practicians to focus on the use of diaphragm during the examinations.

### IV. CONCLUSION

At the end of this study, our objective of determining the reference diagnostic levels for lumbar examinations in Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire) is reached. For all the diagnostic centres, the values of the entrance dose (De) and the dose area product (DAP) were measured. A De value of 5.56 mGy and a DAP value of 5.66 Gy.cm² were found for the facial lumbar spine examination. However for the profile lumbar examination the DRLs values in terms of De and DAP were respectively 11.54 mGy and 11.93 Gy.cm².
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The DRL values in term of De obtained in Abidjan through this study are found to be acceptable because they are lower than the De values obtained in many countries. However the DRLs values in term of DAP value are higher compared to the DAP values measured in many country. Therefore the efforts such as the use of diaphragm during the examinations, the use of the recommended kV and mAs are needed by the diagnostic practitioners to optimize the De and DAP values.

This study carried out after the one done by Monnehan et al in 2009, should be pursued in every diagnostic centre in the country in order to establish a general diagnostic reference level of the country comparable to the DRL recommended by IAEA and IRSN.
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